This report is intended to be a resource to help Fundamentalist Christians in studying and evaluating religious leaders and movements. It draws primarily upon materials housed in the Fundamentalism File in the J. S. Mack Library on the campus of Bob Jones University.

Although every effort has been made to provide an impartial study of the topic, this work will naturally reflect the interpretations and viewpoint of its author. This report should not be taken as representing an official statement of the position of Bob Jones University. The University’s theological position is well expressed by its creed.

The staff of the Fundamentalism File would welcome any questions or comments concerning the content of this report.
The Views of Dr. Peter Ruckman

Biography of Dr. Peter Ruckman

Peter Ruckman is an independent Baptist minister and long-time pastor of Bible Baptist Church in Pensacola, Florida. He is well known for his controversial views regarding the superiority of the King James Version of the Bible, and has become a leader among those who hold firmly to the Authorized Version. His prolific dissemination of literature regarding the subject has also contributed to his notoriety. He is a graduate of Bob Jones University, receiving his doctorate from the school in 1955. His dissertation, An Historical Analysis of Practical Theology as It Is Related to the Acts of the Apostles, is found in the collection of J. S. Mack Library at Bob Jones University.

Dr. Ruckman was born in 1921, and grew up in Depression-era America. He spent some time in the military during World War II and traveled to several theaters of combat. He professed salvation in Christ March 14, 1949, and was led in his decision by Dr. Hugh Pyle. Writing later about his salvation, he has stated that even at that time he discerned a vast conspiracy against his views regarding the Authorized Version. His time at Bob Jones University began shortly after he was converted, and though he is a graduate of the school, he has distanced himself from BJU because he disagrees with its stand on the texts and translations of Scripture.

Dr. Ruckman generates controversy, but not merely because of his views regarding the Authorized Version. David Cloud, a strong promoter of the King James Version but also a critic of Dr. Ruckman, epitomizes concerns about Dr. Ruckman’s marital status:

Dr. Peter Ruckman has been divorced two times and married three times yet he has been a pastor all along, is still a pastor, and he defends his ... marital status in his book on divorce and remarriage. His first marriage was before his salvation, and it ended in 1962 when his wife left him and filed for divorce. He began pastoring the Brent Baptist Church in Pensacola, Florida, soon after that. In 1972 Ruckman married the divorced wife of one of his former students. When a vote was taken in Brent Baptist as to whether they supported his second marriage, 200 voted for it and 100 opposed it. He resigned and started the Bible Baptist Church in 1974 with 17 people. In 1988 the second marriage ended when she walked out and sued for divorce. The third marriage was to a member of his church, a mother of three.

He speaks openly about his different marriages: “The first family I raised was a family of five: three boys and two girls. The next family was two girls, and the last family was three boys.”

Dr. Ruckman says that he has “mastered water colors, oils, pastels, charcoal, pen, and acrylics,” and he illustrates the covers of many of his books. He has been in ministry for nearly fifty years, and has produced a number of books, pamphlets, tracts, tapes, videos, articles, and works of art.

Report Format

This research report is a compendium of annotated bibliographic references and direct quotations documenting Dr. Ruckman’s notable views. Many of the articles cited go back to the earliest issues of Dr. Ruckman’s Bible Believers’ Bulletin, but nearly all his views can be found in every single issue of his paper.

The Mack Library at Bob Jones University contains copies of the Bible Believers’ Bulletin

1“From the very start (1949) I recognized the fact that the Alexandrian Cult had the ‘jump’ on us in America by at least 100 years.” Peter Ruckman, “Strictly Personal,” Bible Believers’ Bulletin, Sept. 1985, p. 2.
5Ibid.
from 1978. The majority of the articles cited in this report can be found in the religious stacks of the Periodicals Room. Every effort has been made to present these completely and in proper context. As Peter Ruckman says, “One ounce of investigation is worth 3000 tons of hot air.”

**Major Arguments for the KJV**

Dr. Ruckman consistently repeats certain arguments for the superiority of the Authorized Version. These arguments are listed and cited below in no specific order.


Those who believe in the original autographs to the supplanting of the Textus Receptus believe in no Bible at all, because the “original autographs” are “unreadable, unavailable, unseen, [and] nonexistent” (p. 2) A favorite phrase of Dr. Ruckman for those who oppose his position is, “He never saw [alt. “read”] THE BIBLE a day in his life.”


The King James Version is the absolute standard of judgment, by which any difference in modern versions is said to be an intentional “deletion” or an “omission,” and such changes are outright attacks on God’s Word. “No Bible that omits ‘through his blood’ (Col. 1:14) IS A PURE BIBLE OR A CLEAN BIBLE: it is dirty and leavened and will eventually corrupt the reader.... Any Bible omitting ‘through his blood’ HAS ATTACKED THIS DOCTRINE” (p. 6).


Westcott and Hort and all major figures in the history of textual criticism were apostates. Dr. Ruckman claims to have revealed the “seven standard lies used by the [Alexandrian] cult members as marks of identification and the thirteen ‘pitches’ used by the con men as they ‘tap’ their ‘marks’” (p. 4).


He is willing to question not only the integrity but also the eternal destiny of those with whom he disagrees. One of the “seven lies to which the cult members resort” is that “‘Westcott and Hort were brilliant Biblical scholars.’ There is no evidence that either man was saved; there is no evidence that either man believed that the Bible was inspired at any time—past, present, or future. There is no evidence that either man knew any more about BIBLE scholarship than did any Pope before 1850” (p. 4).


God’s use of the King James Version is proof of its superiority. “The AV is vastly superior to the originals of the New Testament because of its fruits.... A conservative estimate would be that more than ten times as many people have been saved under the teaching and preaching of the AV (or a translation from it) as were saved while anyone was teaching and preaching Matthew, Mark, Luke, John, and Paul’s ‘original verbally inspired autographs.’... Moody and Sunday could do more with the AV text than Paul and Peter ever did with ‘originals’ in a lifetime” (pp. 3, 5). Dr. Ruckman continues with an argument for the King James’ superiority based on its poetic language and rhythmic cadence, and then proffers the reasoning that the KJV is superior because it puts books in the proper order for the teachings of numerology. (For examples of his numerological arguments, see the selection on the following page from the August 1978 issue of *Bible Believer’s Bulletin*.)


The patronage of a king makes the KJV superior. Dr. Ruckman cites Ecclesiastes 8:4, “Where the word of a king is, there is power: and who may say unto him, What dost thou?” as added proof that the King James Version of the Bible (authorized by King James in the early 1600s) is God’s preferred Bible. “Naturally, we reject the ‘New King Jimmy Version’ published by Thomas Nelson as it is NOT an ‘Authorized’ Version in any sense. NO KING (Ecc. 8:4) AUTHORIZED ITS PUBLICATION” (p. 2).

Numerology proves the superiority of the KJV. “Did you ever stop and think about this: That thing says ‘King James,’ nine letters. ‘Holy Bible’ nine letters.... Do you know what nine is in your Bible? Well, when old Abraham was ninety and nine, he bore that fruit and got that seed. And in the ninth chapter of Genesis the Lord said, ‘Be fruitful and multiply, and replenish the earth’ with that fruit. And there are nine fruits of the Holy Spirit over there in Galatians which just happens to be the ninth book in the New Testament. I’ll tell you something: If you want to bear fruit, that’s the Book [i.e., the King James Version] to use” (p. 1).

He notes in the same article, a reprinted sermon, “Do you know how many chapters are in the Book of Job? Forty-two for the Great Tribulation” (p. 6).

He adds, “Now there are 31,175 verses in a King James 1611, AV. Do you know what the middle verse is: Psalm 118, verse eight, ... ‘It is better to trust in the Lord than to put confidence in man.’ ... What are the two center words? ‘...the Lord...’ How do you account for that? There is only one book in the world where the center two words are ‘the Lord.’ There’s only one: I got it in my hand. It’s not true of the Greek. It’s not true of the Hebrew....

“You say, ‘It’s just a coincidence.’ You’re out of your mind” (p. 7).


English is the premier global language. “If Greek WAS the universal language of the Roman Empire when the originals were ‘verbally, plenary [sic] inspired,’ what would that come to? The Roman Empire in the first century couldn’t produce one-tenth of the present world population which is in an English speaking setting: China and India set their clocks by England.... No nation sets its clock by Palestine or Greece [i.e., lands where Hebrew and Greek are spoken], and longitude from either is based on England” (p. 5).

King James Version Corrects the Greek


“How do you know that a commercial fisherman like Peter wrote an errorless ‘original’ without misspelling a word or making a mistake in grammar? Why would he have to?

“I will take a ‘step by faith’ and say that Peter’s first two copies were messed up in places so he wrote a THIRD copy before God accepted it as ‘original.’ I will go further. He wrote several originals God didn’t allow to get into the canon; so did John, James, and Paul. I have just as much proof as YOU do” (pp. 1, 4).

“According to all of the manuscript detectives, the ‘originals’ would have been written in continuous, block capital letters...; thus they WOULDREADLIKETHIS.

“And that is superior to the English text?
“In what way?” (p. 4).

“We are assured that the order of Books in the Hebrew Old Testament is proper; it doesn't match those of the AV. In the AV you can find the captivity of Israel mentioned in 2 Chronicles (matching A.D. 70 under Titus); the return to Palestine in Ezra (matching the Balfour Declaration of 1917); the rebuilding of the city (matching A.D. 1948); the abandonment of a Gentile bride and her replacement with a Jewish bride (Esther), matching the transition from the Church Age into the Great Tribulation, which pops up in the next book (Job: forty-two chapters; one for each month in Rev. 11-13)” (p. 4).

“The universal language of the ‘endtime’ is English, not Greek. The God of history is the God of Scripture. He didn’t freeze in A.D. 90. More than three-fourths of ANY Bible deals with material beyond 1998. You knew that, didn’t you? Why didn’t you know that?

“Ever read the Bible?” (p. 4).


“The AV is vastly superior to the ‘originals’ for the simple reason that the AV can be read, memorized, taught, and preached; the originals
cannot.... Suppose you had the ‘verbally inspired originals.’

“a. They wouldn’t be in one Book.

“b. They wouldn’t have sentence and paragraph divisions (according to the scholars).

“c. They wouldn’t have chapter and verse divisions (according to the scholars).

“d. You couldn’t read them without at least four years study in Greek and Hebrew.

“e. No one would understand anything you got out of them unless you spoke it in English.... Hebrews and Greeks make up less than five percent of the world’s population” (p. 3).


“Use the Greek texts to expand or explain the English text. Never use them to CORRECT the English text” (p. 1).

Modern Bible Versions


Dr. Ruckman firmly denounces the New International Version. “The ‘best selling’ apostate corruption in the last couple of years has been the Nutty Idiot’s Version, which is a complete collation of every blunder, theological error, Satanic cover-up, and just plain ridiculous private interpretation committed by apostate Conservatives since the days of Origen (A.D. 200) and Augustine (A.D. 420). It is the ‘the’ translation for ‘this age’ (Laodicean); the perfect handmaid to total apostasy within the Body of Christ, as prophesied by the apostle.... For sheer rejection and perversion of Biblical truths you cannot beat a Nutty Idiot’s Version” (p. 6).


Dr. Ruckman also firmly opposes the New American Standard Bible. “This New ASV (supposedly a very right-wing type of publication) is basically a Jehovah’s Witness Bible which teaches that Jesus Christ was a created God (John 1:18). Notwithstanding this obvious heretical reading, Bob Jones published a pamphlet by the head of their Bible department, named Stewart Custer, called naively The Truth about the KJV Controversy. In it not only was the NASV text justified by lying about manuscript evidence and family classifications, but Origen was put into an Orthodox position where you could hardly question him as a heretic” (p. 9).

Salvation


“[N]otice how careful Crumpton [of Maranatha Baptist Bible College] is to quickly add that he does not believe what the King James Bible says about salvation....

“‘We believe that the Bible from Genesis through Revelation teaches that salvation is by grace through faith plus nothing minus nothing in Jesus Christ.’

“That is, he doesn’t believe there is an element of works in the Old Testament under the law. He doesn’t believe there is an element of works in the Tribulation, where it is mentioned three times in the books of Revelation and Matthew; and he believes that salvation in the Millennium is ‘by grace through faith’ when no faith is required” (p. 13).


“Among the many great truths of Biblical Theology (that can be proved with documented evidence), is the truth that there is an element of faith and works present in Old Testament salvation under the Law, and again, in the Tribulation, after the Body of Christ departs” (p. 3).


“There are a minimum of 100 verses in the New Testament that teach a converted sinner can lose salvation” (p. 1).

“The Alexandrian Cult”


Dr. Ruckman claims, “every ‘recognized’ church historian and Christian ‘scholar’ is a
member of a CULT. This cult is the Alexandrian Cult of North Africa, and its tenacles [sic] stretch from Origen (184-254 A.D.) to John R. Rice and the faculty members of every ‘recognized’ Christian school in the world” (p. 4).

Every issue of the Bible Believers’ Bulletin contains a copy of what Dr. Ruckman calls the “Creed of the Alexandrian Cult,” essentially a list of arguments for the KJV in reverse. The creed appears in full below.

1. “There is no final authority but God.
2. “Since God is a Spirit, there is no final authority that can be seen, heard, read, felt, or handled.
3. “Since all books are material, there is no book on this earth that is the final and absolute authority on what is right and what is wrong: what constitutes truth and what constitutes error.
4. “There WAS a series of writings one time which, IF they had all been put into a BOOK as soon as they were written the first time, WOULD HAVE constituted an infallible and final authority by which to judge truth and error.
5. “However, this series of writings was lost, and the God Who inspired them was unable to preserve their content through Bible-believing Christians at Antioch (Syria), where the first Bible teachers were (Acts 13:1), and where the first missionary trip originated (Acts 13:1-52) and where the word “Christian” originated (Acts 11:26).
6. “So, God chose to ALMOST preserve them through Gnostics and philosophers from Alexandria, Egypt, even though God called His Son OUT of Egypt (Matthew 2), Jacob OUT of Egypt (Genesis 49), Israel OUT of Egypt (Exodus 15), and Joseph’s bones OUT of Egypt (Exodus 13).
7. “So, there are two streams of Bibles: the most accurate—though, of course, there is no final, absolute authority for determining truth and error: it is a matter of ‘preference’—are the Egyptian translations from Alexandria, Egypt, which are ‘almost the originals,’ although not quite.
8. “The most inaccurate translations were those that brought about the German Reformation (Luther, Zwingli, Bohler, Zinzendorf, Spener, etc.) and the worldwide missionary movement of the English-speaking people: the Bible that Sunday, Torrey, Moody, Finney, Spurgeon, Whitefield, Wesley, and Chapman used.
9. “But we can ‘tolerate’ these if those who believe in them will tolerate US. After all, since there is NO ABSOLUTE AND FINAL AUTHORITY that anyone can read, teach, preach, or handle, the whole thing is a matter of ‘PREFERENCE.’ You may prefer what you prefer, and we will prefer what we prefer; let us live in peace, and if we cannot agree on anything or everything, let us all agree on one thing: THERE IS NO FINAL, ABSOLUTE, WRITTEN AUTHORITY OF GOD ANYWHERE ON THIS EARTH.”

“This is the Creed of the Alexandrian Cult.”

Double Inspiration

“According to the Chancellor of Bob Jones University “THE BIBLE NEVER CLAIMS DOUBLE INSPIRATION?” [sic] It not only claims it but states it in Jeremiah 36 in every English translation printed in the last 300 years.... The original that Jeremiah penned was inspired the second time and the second copy did NOT match the first copy. They were NOT the same ‘verbal words.’ There are heretics and there are heretics” (p. 3).


“We ... believe that the AV was ‘GIVEN BY INSPIRATION’ (not ‘inspired’) and preserved to the present day without ‘PROVEN ERROR’ although there are variations in editions. This group [i.e., Dr. Ruckman’s] holds to the scriptural definition of the word ‘scripture’ as found in the scriptures. Since the word NEVER refers to verbal, plenary, inspired ‘original autographs’ we ignore the anti-Biblical fundamentalists who take
this ANTI-BIBLICAL view of the meaning of the word” (p. 2).

**Manuscript Families**


Dr. Ruckman does not believe in the designation of manuscript families. “There are seven things wrong with believing ANY faculty member of ANY school who recommends the NIV, NASV, and ASV which came from the Westcott and Hort text of Nestle…. Those men constructed an imaginary ‘family classification’” (p. 5).

**Gail Riplinger**


Dr. Ruckman defends the views of Gail Riplinger. “She hasn’t run into a critic yet who can even discuss her findings, let alone analyze them. The hot-house, incubator babies that have ‘tangled’ with New Age Bible Versions [Riplinger’s book] are so shallow and have such isolated mentalities they cannot even recognize the sources Riplinger uses” (p. 1).

**Bibles in Other Languages**


Dr. Ruckman does recognize and recommend Bibles in languages other than English. “We recommend any edition of *Olivetan* or *LeFevre* in French, any edition of *Diodati* in Italian, any edition of *Luther* in German (if not revised), any edition of *De Reina* in Spanish (if not revised) and any edition of the *Elizabeth Bible* in Russian. We recognize at least 100 ‘reliable’ translations used on the mission field and recommended by the Trinitarian Bible Society of London, England....

“Finally we recommend any edition of the *Receptus* by Erasmus, Beza, Colinaeus, Stephanus or Elzevir” (p. 3).

**The Septuagint**


Dr. Ruckman claims that he has presented “documented evidence to prove that … [t]here was no Greek Old Testament in circulation anywhere before the ascension of Jesus Christ.”


A debate proposition that Dr. Ruckman offers: “That a B.C. LXX is a joke and a legend that comes entirely from tradition and the imagination of man’s mind…. [N]o LXX manuscript existed before 150 A.D.” (p. 1).


“There is not one copy of a Greek Old Testament found anywhere in any collection of Dead Sea Scrolls, nor is there one trace of one verse from a Greek Old Testament quoted by a New Testament writer” (p. 10).

**Divorce**


Dr. Ruckman defends his multiple divorces. “We present here a typical Christian scholar—his speech and then the ‘interpretation.’ ...

“‘RUCKMAN IS A DIVORCED MAN AND DOESN’T QUALIFY FOR THE MINISTRY’ (Meaning: ‘Since God gave him a tape ministry, a cassette ministry, a tract ministry, a video tape ministry, an evangelistic ministry, a missionary ministry, a local Baptist church, a Bible school, a Bible teaching ministry, and a book ministry, I’ve got to say something to cover up my own uselessness and barrenness.’)” (p. 5).


Dr. Ruckman here answers the accusation by David Cloud that he has had multiple divorces. “Dr. Ruckman’s first wife deserted him—period. They were living in Alabama at the time, and, according to Alabama state law, a divorce is ‘automatic’ if a spouse has deserted him and divorced him on the grounds of ‘incompatibility.’ Such grounds are UNSCRIPTURAL. In NEITHER of these cases did Dr. Ruckman PUT AWAY HIS WIFE.
“(Have you ever read 1 Corinthians 7, Mr. Cloud?)….

“If a man’s calling depended on his wife’s spirituality, then God placed man in a position of being controlled by a woman…. If divorce disqualifies a man for the ministry, then Romans 11:29 is a lie: ‘For the gifts and calling of God are without repentance’” (p. 2).

Bob Jones University and Its Professors, Leaders, and Graduates


“Bob Jones University is a fine Christian school: I still recommend students to go there who are interested in entering full time Christian education as a calling…. [but] do they recommend students to come here [to Pensacola Bible Institute]? They don’t have the guts or the grace. Stunted little children can never take criticism or competition gracefully, so they simply warn people against coming here. Do we do this to them? Of course not, we have more grace than to kick every dog that bites us, especially if it is a thoroughbred dog that is somebody’s pet” (p. 5).


“The Roman Catholic text of the Jesuit Bible (1582) is a Greek text used by scholars at Tennessee Temple and Bob Jones University to alter the AV in 5,000 places in the New Testament. (You understand, however, that for monetary purposes—enrollment and endowment—both schools ‘USE’ the AV because they ‘PREFER’ it. You have to ‘prefer’ your income even if you would like to alter your ‘life style.’)" (p. 4).


“It can be seen at once that we are at least TWICE AS TOLERANT AS THE FACULTY AND STAFF OF BOB JONES” (p. 3).


“We have often said, ‘When a man messes with that Book, God messes with his mind.’ Bob Jones III, Donald Waite, and Curtis Hutson are three of the clearest ‘living samples’ today that you can see on the display counter. They are infected with Alexandrian Idiots Degrading Scripture—AIDS) [sic]” (p. A).


“Bob Jones Jr. never read ‘the Bible’ a day in his life, according to what he SAID ‘the Bible was.’” (p. 1)

“Bob Jones III is a character assassinator just like his daddy was…. Bob Jones, III is a bald-faced liar just like his daddy” (p. 5).


“The head of the Bible Department at Bob Jones—Stewart Custer—makes this profession of faith [that he has the original autographs of the Greek New Testament], which Bob Jones Jr. and Bob Jones III have never corrected, adjusted, revised, or even commented on…. [T]hese ridiculous, lying hypocrites [are] speaking… just as smoothly, courteously, and piously as Tertullus” (p. 1).


“The greatest resistors of the Holy Spirit today, the greatest barriers to revival and biblical knowledge, the greatest church-splitting, divisive hypocrites on the face of this earth (excepting the news media and the Vatican) are the graduates and faculty members of the largest recognized Christian colleges, seminaries and universities of America” (p. 9).


“Who are the divisive church splitters, hell raising trouble makers in America? Graduates of Bob Jones” (p. 2).

Prophecies of Christ’s Return


“It is now 1989. Personallv, I think the Lord is coming in late spring. If I were to hazard a
guess now (after receiving an orthodox Jewish calendar from a Jew) I would guess somewhere between the 14th of May and the 20th of June. That, of course, is only a guess. If that does not wind it up then at least I will have survived long enough to see the effect of forty years of ministry in this century” (p. 5).


“We now enter the last tenth of the last century of the world’s history before the Second Advent of Jesus Christ. If the calendar is right (and that qualifying clause always has to be included when guessing). The year 2000 is the limit for the Advent....

“Anyone knows the seventh millennium has to be a ‘Sabbath’ of rest” (p. 2).

**Bold Claims**


“Every year without fail the Lord gives me between 100 and 200 first-time conversions to Jesus Christ....

“In the last 28 years we have had nearly 6,000 people accept the Lord Jesus as their Savior” (p. 2).


Ten years after the above quotation, Dr. Ruckman writes, “In addition to THIS ministry—‘sowing discord among the brethren!’—the Lord gave me about five thousand souls.”


Ruckman claims that his ministry has received “more than five hundred signed statements of converts who received the Lord Jesus in the last six months through [his] tract on ‘Fact or Fiction’” (p. 19).


Dr. Ruckman asserts that he knows “the error of the Nicolaitanes” (Rev. 2:6). “BJU took THREE different stands on final authority. We list them here, so you can compare them with the FOURTH ‘stand.’ Observe that all these ‘militant stands’ contradict each other and all four were adopted for one purpose and one purpose only: to establish scholarship as the final authority over the Authorized Version of the Protestant Reformation, i.e. to steal your Bible from you an replace it with the opinions and preferences of college and university professors (Nicolaitanism)” (p. 1).


Article reproduces a letter allegedly written by a representative of the IRS asking “King James Baptist Church” of Kaneohe, Hawaii, detailed questions about its religious beliefs. Peter Ruckman offers this letter as proof that “THE FIRST THING THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IS INTERESTED IN IS THE JESUIT TEXT OF RHEIMS, FRANCE,” and he adds that this is the textual base of certain modern versions (p. 1).

**The Bible Believers’ Bulletin**


“The best Bible-believing newspaper in America that covers a variety of contemporary trends is The Flaming Torch.... Our own Bulletin is of the same nature but we major more in studying and presenting the ‘roots and sources of apostasy’ for the Bible believer than other publications” (p. 3).


Dr. Ruckman says that his editorial policy deals only with issues, not personalities: “We are not interested in what these poor souls [people who disagree with Ruckman’s views on the KJV] think about us or what we think about them. Their personal lives are none of our business and we are not going to waste paper and ink discussing them” (p. 4).

“Having written articles for the Bulletin now for nearly eleven years, no one could be more conscious than I am of the monotony and redundancy that occurs in it. If a reader did not read ALL of the Bulletin, but only those articles that dealt with fidelity to the Holy Bible (AV) and apostate Fundamentalists, he would undoubtedly come to the conclusion that we spent all our time in the ministry simply ‘attacking’ a list of names of people we didn’t like because they ‘disagreed’ with us. 

“Now I am going to try to explain something about our style of writing…

“Name calling. Jeremiah did it, Moses does it, Jeremiah does it, Paul does it, John does it, James does it, and so does Jesus Christ” (p. 2).


Dr. Ruckman explains his reasons for using the language he does. “God called me to sit at this typewriter and pour forth VINEGAR, ACID, VITRIOL, AND CLEANING FLUID on the leading conservative and fundamental scholars of 1900 through 1990. You can believe [sic] it or not. No one knows any better than I do the truth of it, for I do not enjoy doing it and have tried from the start to get out of it. It cannot be ‘gotten out of.’ If 40,000 preachers were to say, ‘He doesn’t qualify for the ministry, God would carry out his 1901 purpose to the end, right in their faces” (p. 2).

“God is in charge. He will remain in charge, and as long as He destines me to sit at this typewriter and lambast, scald, and ridicule these Bible rejecting fundamentalists who ‘believe the Bible is the Word of God,’ I will do it and do it to the utmost of my capabilities. I hereby dedicate myself anew to the task of DESTRUCTIVE CRITICISM and NEGATIVE BLASTING against every adversary of that Holy Book” (p. 7).

The Roman Catholic Church


“For about fifty years now (since 1948) the official Vatican political stance has been to side as closely as Catholics can with the terrorists in the KLA [Kosovo Liberation Army], PLO [Palestine Liberation Organization], and the IRA [Irish Republican Army]. Exactly as both World Wars began in Yugoslavia, with Roman Catholic interests at the heart of both wars, Clinton’s war in Yugo is not really his at all; he is under orders via the CIA, CFR [Council on Foreign Relations], the Vatican, and UN [United Nations], and possibly the Bilderbergers and the ‘Club of Rome’…. Clinton’s objectives are Communist and Catholic, or in our ‘plain talk’ language, ‘SOVIET CATHOLICISM.’”

UFOs


“UFO’s are documented Biblically (Isa. 28:19-21; 2 Sam. 5:20-23; Ezek. 1, 10; Zech 5:1, 5:5); they are documented historically (Pliny’s Naturalist [sic] Historia;… Shakespeare’s Henry VI (Act III); and so forth); and they have been documented experimentally. My personal opinion is that if a man does not believe in UFO’s he is mentally sick” (p. 2).

“[T]hey could be INTRA-terrestrial: that is, coming from inside a ‘hollow earth,’ or, at last, a vast area somewhere under the ocean” (pp. 2, 9).

According to Dr. Ruckman, the “total sum of knowledge concerning the ‘spaceships’” includes the following facts: “The occupants are small and green, or small and grey (three feet to four feet tall), or they are tall and dressed in gray uniforms, or their skin is ‘rubbery.’ Some have eyes and mouths but no noses or ears. Some have noses and eyes but no mouths or ears. Some have eyes, noses, and mouths but no ears. Some talk in a guttural voice that reminds one of German. Others grunt or communicate by telepathy without vocal sounds. Sometimes they carry ray guns, sometimes they do not” (p. 9).

“I have seen a UFO at an altitude of about 1200 feet go from horizon to horizon (silently, without blinklight light or ‘landing’ lights) in less than fifteen seconds. In the sky (say 150,000 miles us) I have seen them traverse horizon to horizon in less than two minutes” (p. 9).

“If I were to guess as to the source of the occupants I would guess genetic mutations from angelic cohabitation with animals” (p. 12).